

Inland
Navigation
Week



THE IWT ROADMAP & A POSSIBLE GREENING FUND

Daisy Rycquart
Secretary Innovation &
Greening EU IWT Platform

Monday March 20th 2023



The IWT Roadmap & A possible greening fund

For the inland Navigation Week
by Daisy Rycquart
Secretary Innovation & Greening committee



Content



01.

Introduction

Policy framework

03.

Current solutions

What's on the table
today

Challenges

Step by step background
information on greening
challenges

Discussion

Room for questions

02.

04.



01. Introduction

Policy framework




IWT POLICY FRAMEWORK

October 2018 - Declaration of Mannheim

35% reduction GHG and air pollution compared with 2015 by 2035,
>90% reduction greenhouse gases and other pollutants by 2050

December 2019 – EU GREEN DEAL


50-55% reduction GHG by 2030 compared to 1990 levels
90% reduction in transport emissions by 2050



EU Strategy on Sustainable & Smart Mobility published on December 9th, 2020

- 8) Transport by inland waterways and short sea shipping will increase by 25% by 2030 and by 50% by 2050 (compared to 2015)
- 9) By 2030, rail and waterborne-based intermodal transport will be able to compete on equal footing with road-only transport in the EU
- 10) All external costs of transport within the EU will be covered by the transport users at the latest by 2050.

<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52020DC0789>




Fit for 55 package - delivering the EU's 2030 Climate Target on the way to climate neutrality published on July 14th, 2021

The “Fit for 55” package aims to deliver the EU’s increased emission reductions target, touching the:

- **Emission Trading Scheme** Directive (ETS) – extension to maritime
- **Energy Taxation Directive** – no exemptions for fuels in maritime and inland shipping; exemption possibility for shore side electricity; zero minimum rates for sustainable fuels for 10 year.
- **Regulation on alternative fuels infrastructure** (AFIR) – on-shore power supply for TEN-T maritime and inland ports and provisioning of appropriate LNG refuelling points in TEN-T core maritime ports.
- **Renewable Energy Directive** (REDIII) – counts energy used in international shipping towards the target

https://ec.europa.eu/info/strategy/priorities-20192024/european-green-deal/delivering-european-green-deal_en#documents



Navigation And Inland Waterway Action and Development in Europe (NAIADES) III Action Plan


2021-2027

What does the initiative aim to achieve and how?

(A) moving more transport by inland waterways

(B) a gradual shift towards zero emission inland vessels

https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12789-Binnenvaart-actieplan-NAIADES-III-2021-2027_nl





02. Challenges

Step by step background
information on greening
challenges



40,000km
EU waterways

550m tonnes
shipped every year


75% of traffic
cross-border

250
inland ports

Fleet size

1. European transport vessels reach just over 16.000 vessels with more than 62% vessels active and based in the Rhine region
2. River cruise vessels in Europe reach 405 vessels

< 0,4% today = “Green”



Industry trends

- chemicals
- containers
- building materials
- agribulk
- petroleum products
- coal



No traffic jams

- 60-80% less fuel
- 60-80% less carbon
- 50-75% less noise



Main bottle necks towards zero emission IWT

1. Financial bottleneck


There is no business case for greening

2. Technical bottleneck

Which technique is a no-regret investment?

3. Lack of regulatory incentives

At this moment the only European regulatory incentive is the Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM) EU Regulation 2016/1628 where it is obligatory to install a STAGE V engine when installing a new engine on board (retrofit or newly built)





Largely eliminating both GHG and air pollutant emissions from inland navigation by 2050 is clearly **no longer an option** but a necessity if **inland navigation** wants to **preserve** and strengthen its position as a **competitive**, sustainable and environmentally friendly **mode of transport**.



Business as usual scenario







Figure 1

EMISSION LIMITS FOR IWT ENGINES (Power > 300 kW)




Including SCR+DPF installation
 NOx reduction >80 %
 PM reduction >94 %


Conservative scenario – Transition pathway





Conservative scenario – Technology share per fleet family in 2050



Innovative scenario – Transition pathway



Innovative scenario – Technology share per fleet family in 2050



Price tag

+/- €7 billion

In the **conservative pathway** as compared to the BAU scenario the TCO gap (total of 30 years, 2020-2050) is approximately:

- €2.43 bln in the minimum price scenario
- €2.67 bln in the average price scenario
- €6.38 bln in the maximum price scenario

In the **innovative pathway** as compared to the BAU scenario the TCO gap (total of 30 years, 2020-2050) is approximately:

- €5.26 bln in the minimum price scenario
- €7.80 bln in the average price scenario
- €10.19 bln in the maximum price scenario




Table 3: Capability of vessels to invest in a Stage V (compliant) engine

Tonnes	Own capital	Bank financing	Amount needed	Gap	% Grant needed
250 - 400	€ 23,070	€ 40,971	€ 94,653	€ 30,611	32.3%
400 – 650	€ 47,369	€ 40,116	€ 146,068	€ 58,583	40.1%
650 -1000	€ 43,593	€ 63,559	€ 192,431	€ 85,279	44.3%
1000 – 1600	€ 100,492	€ 98,516	€ 284,572	€ 85,563	30.1%
1600 -2500	€ 138,976	€ 124,203	€ 432,567	€ 169,388	39.2%
> 2500	€ 85,055	€ 360,577	€ 722,409	€ 276,776	38.3%

Source: Panteia (2020), based upon Stichting Abri database and Research Question C inputs

STAGE V
30%—45%
investment gap

Table 2: Capability of vessels to invest in technologies that work towards zero emission.

Tonnes	Own capital	Bank financing	Amount needed	Gap	% Grant needed
250 – 400	€ 23,070	€ 119,884	€ 373,713	€ 230,759	61.7%
400 – 650	€ 47,369	€ 97,244	€ 390,045	€ 245,432	62.9%
650 -1000	€ 43,593	€ 122,237	€ 404,772	€ 238,942	59.0%
1000 – 1600	€ 100,492	€ 150,885	€ 434,040	€ 182,663	42.1%
1600 – 2500	€ 138,976	€ 147,539	€ 481,051	€ 194,536	40.4%
> 2500	€ 85,055	€ 264,484	€ 573,118	€ 223,579	39.0%

Source: Panteia (2020), based upon Stichting Abri database and Research Question C inputs

ZERO EMISSION:
40%-63%
investment gap


+/- €26,8 million

The EU reserve fund (scrapping and old-for-new scheme)

After financing the EU IWT platform for a fixed timeline of 10 years, the remaining means are around €26,8 million.

Looking at the average TCO gap towards zero emission of €7 billion, the means of the **reserve fund would only be good for 0,4%** of the costs to cover.

It is an illusion the EU reserve fund based on financial contribution by the European shipowners in the past would be a sole solution.





03. Current solutions

What's on the table today



Main bottle necks towards zero emission IWT

1. Financial bottleneck


There is no business case for greening

2. Technical bottleneck

Which technique is a no-regret investment?

3. Lack of regulatory incentives

At this moment the only European regulatory incentive is the Non-Road Movable Machinery (NRMM) Directive where it is obligatory to install a STAGE V engine when installing a new engine on board (retrofit or newly built)



An Inland Waterway Transport Greening Fund?

Table S2: Options for a contribution basis

Options for contribution basis	Contribution equal to 4 cent per litre on average (€ 53 mln per year)	Contribution equal to 8 cent per litre on average (€ 106 mln per year)
Option 6 Contribution based on a flat rate for the bunkered amount of fuel/energy	4 eurocent/litre bunkered fuel flat rate (not differentiated)	8 eurocent/ litre bunkered fuel flat rate (not differentiated)
Option 7 Contribution based on real-time measured emissions on board of vessels	0.79 euro/kg NOx 3.12 euro/kg PM	1.59 euro/kg NOx 6.25 euro/kg PM
Option 8 Contribution based on emissions calculated	1.62 euro/ton CO ₂ e	3.24 euro/ton CO ₂ e
Option 9 Contribution based on the emission Label/Energy Index combined with the bunkered amount of fuel/energy per vessel	4 eurocent/ litre bunkered fuel on average (differentiated)	8 eurocent/ litre bunkered fuel on average (differentiated)

Inspiration on calculations made in the past

Overview

<u>TCO GAP</u>	<u>Sector Contribution</u>	<u>Reserve Fund</u>	<u>Public Grants</u>
€7 billion	€1,3 - €2,6 billion (based on 4-8cents surcharge)	€26,8 million	€5,7 – €4,4 billion
100%	18% - 37%	0,4%	82% - 63%



Impact Mannheim Act (MA)

Conclusions and assessment by the CCNR

- Amending the MA or the 1952 Strasbourg Agreement or granting a temporary derogation from the MA is not an option.

Remaining possibilities a sector contribution, which can be considered as compatible with the Regime under the Mannheim Act would therefore be as follows:


- **Possibility 1: a voluntary sector contribution based on fuel consumption and differentiated according to a labelling system.**

As long as it is voluntary, a contribution based on fuel consumption would be in accordance with the regime under the Mannheim Act. However, the setting-up of a voluntary contribution comes with challenges. First because it implies willingness on the part of the sector, which is not given and second because it is not clear that a contribution of this nature will achieve the desired objectives.

- **Possibility 2: a mandatory contribution, not based on fuel consumption.**

A mandatory sector contribution would need to be based on a different indicator than fuel consumption to be compatible with the regime under the Mannheim Act. It should be avoided that such indicators are also based on the “fact of navigation” as this could be at variance with the Mannheim Act as well. The challenge therefore lies in identifying new indicators and a new methodology for raising such a sector contribution.

Source: Platina 3 – Deliverable 2.5




Emission Trading Scheme (ETS)

The European Union's Emission Trading System (ETS) was launched in 2005, being the first carbon trading system on a global scale. It covers approximately 45% of the total GHG emissions in the EU.

The ETS is based on a cap-and-trade approach. In this regard, the cap puts a certain limit on the GHG emissions, that may become stricter over time.

The cost impact in of a contribution to the EU-ETS could be equivalent for IWT to an **increase in fuel price of around €213/1000l of diesel fuel**, based on current EU-ETS prices per ton of CO₂ emission.*

This corresponds to 21 cents per litre and is therefore much higher than the option of an earmarked and differentiated sector contribution ranging between 4 to 8 cents on gasoil (example).





04. Discussion

Room for questions



Communication

- Website
<https://www.inlandwaterwaytransport.eu/>
- Linked-In
<https://www.linkedin.com/company/inland-waterway-transport/>
- Monthly newsletter (subscription via website)



Thanks!

